

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS POLEMISSION OF PATENTS POLEMISSION OF PATENTS Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

	NEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/489.161 01/21/2000 Martin Lee Schanze		
***************************************	041-470-L	5455
27201 7590 10/20/2004	EXAMINER	
UNISYS CORPORATION	ADAMS, JONATHAN R	
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL 10850 VIA FRONTERA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S 1000	2134	8
SAN DIEGO, CA 92127 DATE N	MAILED: 10/20/2004	. 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				6
si - 1 190		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
t.		09/489,161	SCHANZE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jonathan R Adams	2134	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status				
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.		is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims .			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7, 11, 13-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.		
	The drawing(a) filed an increase and according to the Examiner		-vaminar	
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the l		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	ut(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 09/489,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed 4/15/2004 to the application filed 1/21/2000.
  - Claims 8, 9, 10, and 12 have been cancelled.
  - Claim 7 has been amended to incorporate subject matter of previously cancelled claims 8, 9, and 10.
  - Claims 1, 3, and 15 have been amended to correct punctuation and grammar errors.

# Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/6/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. As to claim(s) 1-3, 7, and 11:

The applicant contends that the Seitz reference has no concept of implementation as indicated in applicants' Figs. 15, 16, and 17. The relationship of interconnecting modules of applicants' invention is not stated in the claimed subject matter.

4. The applicant contends that the Seitz reference works to handle messages asynchronously between applications in the client server network which the applicant

Art Unit: 2134

states to be different from handling of messages between am application and a server in a client server network. An application is synonymous with software and can be represented on any platform including server software. Further the applicant states that the applicants system is specific to data transfer involving a specialized Unisys Server. This is not stated in the claimed subject matter.

- 5. The applicant contends that the Seitz reference pertains to generic message exchange whereas the applicants invention is a specific set of data streams unique to Kerberos. The use of Kerberos in conjunction with generic message exchange is stated in the Seitz reference, hence including the data streams specific to Kerberos.
- 6. The applicant contends that the Seitz reference data/message path is client-server-client, whereas the applicants' invention uses a client-server-server data/message path. The Seitz reference states that in conjunction with the client-server method of authenticate, the Kerberos protocol may further be used. The defining reference for Kerberos, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Protocol" teaches the use of a distinct Kerberos server performing authentication.

## 7. As to claim(s) 4-6 and 13-15:

The applicant contends that the Schanze reference is inoperable for examiners consideration because of common ownership. However, this is not the case, common ownership has no bearing on 102(a)-type art.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/489,161 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 7, and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seitz patent number 5,805,823 (hereafter referred to as '823). The reference, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service" is used as a detailed description of Kerberos, and will hereafter be referred to as KNAS.
  - 1. As to claims 1-3, 7, and 11:

'823 teaches the claimed invention comprising:

- a. System and Method ('823, Abstract, Line 1 et seq.)
- b. Asynchronous authentication ... / Asynchronous data exchange ('823, Abstract, Line 2), Asynchronous inbound event ('823, Col. 6, Line 47 et seq.), Authenticate ('823, Col. 19, Line 23 et seq.)
- c. Kerberos Domain ... / Kerberos ('823, Col. 19, Line 33 et seq.)
- d. Client-user means ..., Originating terminal ..., Multiple client-users... /Client computers('823, Col. 3, Line 53 et seq.)
- e. Requesting authentication... / A client sends a request ... (KNAS, Introduction, paragraph 2), (KNAS section 3.1)

Art Unit: 2134

f. Client-server means... / Each Client application ... ('823, Col. 3, Line 59 et seq.)

- g. Kerberos server means... / Kerberos ('823, Col. 19, Line 33 et seq.) server always present within Kerberos environment / Kerberos Authentication server ... (KNAS section 3.1)
- h. Credentials... / credentials (KNAS, Introduction, paragraph 2) , (KNAS section 3.1)
- Authentication response... / AS responds... (KNAS, Introduction, paragraph 2), (KNAS section 3.1)
- j. Ticket Granting Service (KNAS section 3.1).
- k. Transmit authentication signal ... to originating terminal. " / ... to authenticate the client (KNAS, Introduction, paragraph 2)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 4-6 and 13-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seitz (5,805,823) as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Schanze (6,003,136) here after referred to as '136.

Art Unit: 2134

12. '823 teaches the use of asynchronous authentication of multiple concurrent clients in a Kerberos environment to maximize network efficiency. '823 does not teach specifically the use of a Master Control Program, General Security Service Library, and Kerberos Support Library. '136 teaches the use of a Master Control Program, and General Security Service Library to develop an asynchronous response message for a client in a Kerberos environment. '136 also teaches the use of a Kerberos Support Library to obtain and store credential information (Col 16, line 13 et seq.) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to incorporate the invention of '136 into the system of '823 by using the specific program means listed in '136 to implement the Kerberos Authenticate method mentioned in '823 ('823, Col. 19, Line 23 et seq.). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate '136 because the program means given in '136 is a well known Kerberos authentication implementation. '136 suggests that the overhead associated with Kerberos systems may be obviated through the use of programs that allow continued processing of request. (Col. 2, lines 35-45; Col. 3, lines 10-35)

### 13. As to claims 4-6 and 13-15:

- I. System and Method ('823, Abstract, Line 1 et seq.)
- m. Kerberos environment / Kerberos ('823, Col. 19, Line 33 et seq.)
- n. Client user, Client terminal means / Client computers ('823, Col. 3, Line 53 et seq.)
- Authentication request / A client sends a request ...(KNAS, Introduction, paragraph 2), (KNAS section 3.1)

Page 6

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/489,161

Art Unit: 2134

- p. Message response without suspending client service / Asynchronous data exchange ('823, Abstract, Line 2 et seq.), Asynchronous inbound event ('823, Col. 6, Line 47 et seq.), Authenticate ('823, Col. 19, Line 23 et seq.)
- q. Original request for validation / A client sends a request ...(KNAS,
   Introduction, paragraph 2), (KNAS section 3.1)
- r. Program means ... for transmitting ... / Fig. 3, item 40 and 42 of '136
- s. Master Control Program / Fig. 3, item 60 of '136
- t. Kerberos Support Library / Fig. 2, item 34 of '136
- u. General Security Service Library / Fig. 2, item 38 of '136
- v. Kerberos server / Fig. 2, item 20 of '136
- w. Credential information / credentials (KNAS, Introduction, paragraph 2) ,(KNAS section 3.1)
- x. Elicit authentication information ... ticket granting service / Fig. 5, item B2, B3
- y. Communication management program / Communication Management System ('136, Col. 4, Line 38 et seq.)
- z. Menu assisted resource control program / MARC ('136, Col. 4, Line 44 et seq.)
- aa. Session key (KNAS section 3.1)
- bb. Generating a message... successfully completed / Output: success or error code ('823, Col. 19, Line 28 et seq.)
- cc. Terminating the session / disconnect ('823, Col. 19, Line 5 et seq.)

Art Unit: 2134

#### Conclusion

- 14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R Adams whose telephone number is (571)272-3832 after 10/04. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 10am to 6pm.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2134

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

REGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100